

to be translated, but clearly, we welcome statements of that nature. I think that's very, very good, very heartening. But I have not had a chance to sit down with Mr. Miyazawa and talk about that in some detail.

*The Prime Minister.* Perhaps a couple more questions. One on this side.

*Consultation on Agricultural Subsidies*

*Q.* President Bush, could you just clarify this mention of consultations for us? The farmers seemed very convinced you have given an undertaking to have consultations before subsidized sales. That doesn't seem to square with what you said earlier in this press conference. If that's not right, you haven't gone as far as that, how does your undertaking about consultations differ from those given by your predecessor?

*The President.* I'm not sure I understand; I don't know what they've said publicly. What they said is they, the farmers, would like to come over and consult. And I said, "Come on, let's go." This would be good, and I'd like to have some American farmers there, as well as Government officials. It wasn't tied in, as far as I know, to any specific pending action under the export program.

*Q.* And not in relation to any future action?

*The President.* Well, they asked that there be consultation on a whole array of things. But I think we're getting it mixed up a little bit with what the—

*The Prime Minister.* I think it's a mix-up between information—

*The President.* —the Government and also with this private sector group. These farmers were there not as Government officials but wanting to come over and talk to our agricultural experts and to our farmers themselves about this whole program. And I said, "Come on, we would welcome you." But that was where that one was left. Now, the other one, I have not been able to make—I think the Prime Minister—let me put it this way, I subscribe to the way he phrased it.

"JFK"

*Q.* A change of pace, if I may, sir. There's a new movie called "JFK," which has not

wafted its way down here yet, but it casts some aspersions on the findings of the Warren Commission's reports. And also it raises some questions about possibly the CIA's role in this. You're a past CIA Director. I wonder, knowing you possibly haven't seen the movie, are you concerned about movies like this which may trouble people who weren't even born at the time of John Kennedy's assassination?

*The President.* Well, I don't know much about the movie. I haven't seen it. And there's all kinds of conspiratorial theories floating around on everything. Elvis Presley is rumored to be alive and well someplace—*[laughter]*—and I can't say that somebody won't go out and make a movie about that. I have seen no evidence that gives me any reason to believe that the Warren Commission was wrong, none whatsoever. And so, if it's helpful to reassure the American people in this way by saying that, fine. But it wouldn't lead me to suggesting that Mr. Stone be censured or something of that nature.

*Q.* As a former CIA Director, did you ever go back and see the CIA's findings during that period to satisfy any of your curiosity?

*The President.* About this subject?

*Q.* Yes.

*The President.* No, I didn't have any curiosity because I believed that the Warren Commission, which acted—when was that finding? When was the Warren Commission finding? Was it—

*Q.* It was in '63 or '64.

*The President.* Which was about 12 years before I was out at the Agency. I saw no reason to question it, still see no reason to question it.

*U.S. Role in the Pacific*

*Q.* President Bush, you said today that you promised again today to maintain a military presence in the region at an appropriate level. People in the region are not so sure. What does appropriate mean and, for instance, is the ANZUS treaty, in effect, dead?

*The President.* Well, the appropriate level of security depends on conditions at the time. What I was addressing myself to was