

with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's Regulations.

ZY will own a 36 MW coal-fired, cogeneration plant (the Facility) now under construction in the Keqiao Western Industrial Area, Shaoxing County, Zhejiang Province, PRC. The Facility will generate and sell electric power at wholesale to the local utility (the Shaoxing Administration of Power Utilization, as subsidized by the 3-Electricity Office of Shaoxing County People's Government), and will sell thermal energy to local businesses in the Keqiao Western Industrial Area.

Comment date: January 14, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

4. Florida Power & Light Company

[Docket No. EL98-8-000]

Take notice that on December 15, 1997, Florida Power & Light Company tendered for filing a Supplemental Statement on Reciprocity in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: January 15, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. The Wisconsin Public Power Inc. System v. Wisconsin Power and Light Company

[Docket No. EL98-11-000]

Take notice that on December 2, 1997, The Wisconsin Public Power Inc. SYSTEM (WPPI), filed a complaint under Section 206 of the Federal Power Act against Wisconsin Power and Light Company (WPL). In the complaint, WPPI alleges that WPL denied firm transmission service to WPPI because WPL has reserved its entire share of firm interface capacity on the Western Interface for its own company including 200 MW for its possible future load growth needs. The complaint alleges that WPL has engaged in a systematic tariff violation, a violation of transmission service comparability, a breach of contract and an anticompetitive withholding of available transfer capacity from the market.

A copy of the complaint was served on respondent WPL and the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin.

Comment date: January 22, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. Answers to the complaint shall be due on or before January 22, 1998.

6. Enron Power Marketing, Inc. v. Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Maryland Interconnection and PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. EL98-12-000]

Take notice that on December 15, 1997, Enron Power Marketing, Inc. (EMPI), filed a complaint and request for expedited relief under Section 206 of the Federal Power Act (FPA), 16 U.S.C. § 824e (1997). EMPI seeks an order immediately directing the Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Maryland Interconnection (PJM) and, if necessary, PECO Energy Company (PECO) to enter into transmission agreements with EMPI, as required for the provision of network integration transmission service, so that EMPI can serve its wholesale customer, the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak). EMPI alleges that PJM has violated the FPA and its open-access transmission tariff by denying network service to EMPI, and eligible customer. EMPI alleges that PECO likewise has threatened to violate the FPA, its open-access tariff and Order No. 888 by denying EMPI access to interconnection facilities required to provide transmission service to Amtrak. EMPI requests that the Commission grant relief on an expedited basis, and no later than March 31, 1998, so that EMPI can satisfy the requirements of the contract to provide electric service to Amtrak.

Comment date: January 22, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. Answers to the complaint shall be due on or before January 22, 1998.

7. Bangor Hydro-Electric Company, Cambridge Electric Light Company, Central Maine Power Company, Central Vermont Service Corporation, The Connecticut Light and Power Company, Maine Public Service Company, Montaup Electric Company, New England Power Company, Public Service Company of New Hampshire, and Western Massachusetts Electric

Company, Complainants and Petitioners, v. Ashburnham Municipal Light Department, Boylston Municipal Light Department, Braintree Electric Light Department, Chicopee Municipal Lighting Plant, Connecticut Municipal Electric Cooperative, Danvers Electric Division, Eastern Maine Electric Cooperative, Inc., Georgetown Municipal Light Department, Hingham Municipal Light Plant, City of Holyoke Gas & Electric Department, Houlton Water Company, Hudson Light & Power Department, Hull Municipal Lighting Plant, Ipswich Municipal Light Department, Littleton Electric Light & Water Department, Marblehead Municipal Light Department, Middleborough Gas & Electric Department, Middleton Municipal Light Department, New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Inc., North Attleborough Electric Department, Paxton Municipal Light Department, Peabody Municipal Light Plant, Shrewsbury's Electric Light Plant, Sterling Municipal Light Department, Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant, Templeton Municipal Light Plant, Wakefield Municipal Light Department, West Boylston Municipal Lighting Plant, Westfield Gas & Electric Light Department, and Wolfeboro Municipal Electric Dept.; Respondents

[Docket No. EL98-13-000]

Take notice that on December 15, 1997, Bangor Hydro-Electric Company, Cambridge Electric Light Company, Central Maine Power Company, Central Vermont Service Corporation, The Connecticut Light and Power Company, Maine Public Service Company, Montaup Electric Company, New England Power Company, Public Service Company of New Hampshire, and Western Massachusetts Electric Company (Sponsors) tendered for filing a Complaint and Petition for Investigation, Contract Modification, and Declaratory Order against Ashburnham Municipal Light Department, Boylston Municipal Light Department, Braintree Electric Light Department, Chicopee Municipal Lighting Plant, Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Cooperative, Danvers Electric Division, Eastern Maine Electric Cooperative, Inc., Georgetown Municipal Light Department, Hingham